Environmental Checklist Form (Initial Study) County of Los Angeles, Department of Regional Planning



Project title: Project No. R2006-02261-(1) / Conditional Use Permit No. 200600165 / Environmental Case No. RENV200600165

Lead agency name and address: Los Angeles County, 320 West Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012

Contact Person and phone number: Steve Mar, (213) 974-6435

Project sponsor's name and address: <u>Leon Felus, 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 500, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292</u>

Project location: 15955 E. San Bernardino Rd, Covina (East Irwindale)

APN: 8435-010-015 USGS Quad: Baldwin Park

Gross Acreage: 0.52 acres

General plan designation: 1 - Low Density Residential

Community/Area wide Plan designation: N/A

Zoning: C-1 (Neighborhood Business)

Description of project: The project is a request for a conditional use permit (CUP) for the construction and operation of a new coin-operated car wash and to allow the sale of beer and wine for off-site consumption (Type 20 alcohol license at an existing gas station with a 2,640 sq. ft. mini-mart in the C-1 (Neighborhood Business) zone pursuant to Los Angeles County Code Section 22.28.110. The proposed car wash will be a 674 sq. ft. separate structure located on the eastern border of the property.

Surrounding land uses and setting: The project site is located within the unincorporated community of East Irwindale within an urbanized area. The subject site and surrounding area have a relatively flat topography. Surrounding land uses consist of single-family residences to the north and west, single-family residences, a shopping center, and a church to the south, and restaurant, office, and single-family residential uses to the east.

Other public agencies whose a participation agreement):	approval may be required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or
Public Agency	Approval Required

Major projects in the area:

Project/ Case No.

Description and Status

Reviewing Agencies:		
Responsible Agencies	Special Reviewing Agencies	Regional Significance
☐ None Regional Water Quality Control Board:	None	None SCAG Criteria Air Quality Water Resources Santa Monica Mtns. Area □
Trustee Agencies	County Reviewing Agencies	
None State Dept. of Fish and Wildlife State Dept. of Parks and Recreation State Lands Commission University of California (Natural Land and Water Reserves System)	 ☑ DPW: - Land Development Division (Grading & Drainage) - Geotechnical & Materials Engineering Division - Traffic and Lighting Division - Waterworks Division - Sewer Maintenance Division 	Fire Department - Land Development Unit - Sanitation District - Public Health/Environmental Health Division: Land Use Program (OWTS), Drinking Water Program (Private Wells), Toxics Epidemiology Program (Noise) - Sheriff Department - Parks and Recreation - Subdivision Committee

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The	The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project.					
	Aesthetics		Greenhouse Gas Emission	s 🗀	Population/Housing	
	Agriculture/Forest		Hazards/Hazardous Mater	ials 🔲	Public Services	
	Air Quality		Hydrology/Water Quality		Recreation	
	Biological Resources		Land Use/Planning		Transportation/Traffic	
	Cultural Resources		Mineral Resources		Utilities/Services	
	Energy		Noise		Mandatory Findings of Significance	
	Geology/Soils					
	TERMINATION: (To be the basis of this initial eva		pleted by the Lead Departm on:	nent.)		
\boxtimes			oject COULD NOT have a <u>TION</u> will be prepared.	significan	t effect on the environment, and a	
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. <u>A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION</u> will be prepared.						
	I find that the propos ENVIRONMENTAL	ed pr _ IM	oject MAY have a significa PACT REPORT is required	it effect o l.	n the environment, and an	
I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.						
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.						
Sign	nature (Prepared by)			Date		
<u>/</u>	Manual (Approved by)	, 0	Dm	Date	125/15	
Sign	nature (Approved by)					

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources the Lead Department cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the Lead Department has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level. (Mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be crossreferenced.)
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA processes, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. (State CEQA Guidelines § 15063(c)(3)(D).) In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of, and adequately analyzed in, an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 7) The explanation of each issue should identify: the significance threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question, and; mitigation measures identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance. Sources of thresholds include the County General Plan, other County planning documents, and County ordinances. Some thresholds are unique to geographical locations.
- 8) Climate Change Impacts: When determining whether a project's impacts are significant, the analysis should consider, when relevant, the effects of future climate change on: 1) worsening hazardous conditions that pose risks to the project's inhabitants and structures (e.g., floods and wildfires), and 2) worsening the project's impacts on the environment (e.g., impacts on special status species and public health).

1. AESTHETICS

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less I nan Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				\boxtimes
b) Be visible from or obstruct views from a regional riding or hiking trail?				
c) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
d) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings because of height, bulk, pattern, scale, character, or other features?				
e) Create a new source of substantial shadows, light, or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			\boxtimes	

The project site is not located within a scenic view corridor or near a scenic vista or a regional riding or hiking trail. The project site is in an urbanized area and does not contain any natural scenic resources and is not located along a state scenic highway. The construction of a new coin-operated car wash and the sale of beer and wine will not degrade the existing urban visual character or quality of the site or its surroundings. The size and bulk of the proposed car wash will not create a significant new source of shadows, light or glare. (State of California Caltrans Scenic Highway Mapping System)

2. AGRICULTURE / FOREST

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, with a designated Agricultural Opportunity Area, or with a Williamson Act contract?				
c) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code § 12220 (g)), timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code § 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined in Government Code § 51104(g))?				\boxtimes
d) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
e) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				

The project site is located in an urbanized area and will not affect agricultural, farmland, or forest uses.

3. AIR QUALITY

	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	•			
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of applicable air quality plans of either the South Coast AQMD (SCAQMD) or the Antelope Valley AQMD (AVAQMD)?				
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?				
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				\boxtimes

The proposed car wash would possibly generate a small increase in automobile traffic which, in turn, would increase the amount of exhaust fumes in the area. However, the new car wash is considered to be a minor addition to the existing gas station and does not change the overall use of the site. The project conforms to adopted land use plans and therefore would not exceed standards as prescribed under the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Air Quality Management Plan. Any increase in automobile exhaust fumes due to increased automobile traffic is expected to be less than significant. (Southern California Air Quality Management District)

4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less 1 han Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)?				
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any sensitive natural communities (e.g., riparian habitat, coastal sage scrub, oak woodlands, non-jurisdictional wetlands) identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by CDFW or USFWS?				
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally or state protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marshes, vernal pools, coastal wetlands, and drainages) or waters of the United States, as defined by § 404 of the federal Clean Water Act or California Fish & Game code § 1600, et seq. through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
e) Convert oak woodlands (as defined by the state, oak woodlands are oak stands with greater than 10% canopy cover with oaks at least 5 inch in diameter measured at 4.5 feet above mean natural grade) or otherwise contain oak or other unique native trees				\boxtimes

(junipers, Joshuas, southern California black walnut, etc.)?				
f) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, including Wildflower Reserve Areas (L.A. County Code, Title 12, Ch. 12.36), the Los Angeles County Oak Tree Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 22, Ch. 22.56, Part 16), the Significant Ecological Areas (SEAs) (L.A. County Code, Title 22, § 22.56.215), and Sensitive Environmental Resource Areas (SERAs) (L.A. County Code, Title 22, Ch. 22.44, Part 6)?				
g) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted state, regional, or local habitat conservation plan?				\boxtimes
The project site is located in an urbanized area that is structures. There are no identified significant biological landscaping will not be disturbed as a result of the project.	completely resources	paved over ar on the site a	nd contains and existing	existing g on-site

5. CULTURAL RESOURCES

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines § 15064.5?				\boxtimes
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines § 15064.5?				\boxtimes
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature, or contain rock formations indicating potential paleontological resources?				
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				\boxtimes

The project site does not contain any known historical, archaeological, or any other cultural resources as defined in CEQA. According to County Assessor's records, the existing gas station and mini-mart was built in 2008 and is not a identified historical resource. The project site is located in an urbanized area and has been previously disturbed by the construction of the existing gas station and mini-mart. New construction for the proposed car wash is unlikely to uncover any archaeological or paleontological resources or any human remains. (Los Angeles County Office of the Assessor, "List of Historic resources and points of interest designated by the State of California in unincorporated Los Angeles County")

6. ENERGY

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Conflict with Los Angeles County Green Building Standards Code (L.A. County Code Title 31)?			\boxtimes	
				
b) Involve the inefficient use of energy resources (see Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines)?			\boxtimes	

The project will comply with all Green Building codes and energy-related building codes as established by the County. The operation of a coin-operated car wash on the project site would slightly increase energy usage on the site. The car wash requires 25 gallons of water per wash. The wash will use 18 gallons of recycled water from the clarifier per wash, thereby using only 7 gallons of fresh water per wash. Exterior and interior light fixtures on the car wash will use energy-saving LED technology. These measures will ensure that the project's energy impacts remain at a less than significant level. (Los Angeles County Green Building Standards Code, Green Building Ordinance)

7. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known active fault trace? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.				
ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?			\boxtimes	
				
iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction and lateral spreading?				
iv) Landslides?			\boxtimes	
The project will not significantly increase the risk of se project site. The project site is located approximately located outside of any identified earthquake fault trace Department of Conservation)	3 miles sou	th of the Dua	rte fault_and	l is well
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				\boxtimes
The project site is already paved with asphalt and construction erosion or the loss of topsoil.	on of the nev	w car wash wil	l not create	new soil
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction				

or collapse?

The project site is not located within a known liquefaction zon	ie. The coi	<u>istruction of t</u>	<u>he new car v</u>	<u>vash_will</u>
comply with all standard building codes to minimize the	structure's	risk to lique	faction. (C	alifornia
Geological Survey Alquist-Priolo maps)		•		
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
The construction of the new car wash will comply with a structure's risk to expansive soils.	ıll standard	l building cod	des to mini	mize the
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of onsite wastewater treatment systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?				
The project site is currently served with a public sewer system treatment systems that would seep wastewater into the ground		ot proposing a	ny onsite w	<u>istewater</u>
f) Conflict with the Hillside Management Area Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 22, § 22.56.215) or hillside design standards in the County General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element?				

The project site is located in an urban area with flat topography and is not located near any hillsides.

8. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less I han Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Generate greenhouse gas (GHGs) emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
b) Conflict with any applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?			\boxtimes	

The project will construct and operate a new car wash and sell alcoholic beverages for off-site consumption as a part of the existing gas station and mini-mart operations. Use of the car wash and the resulting increase of automobile traffic generated by the car wash is not expected to significantly increase greenhouse gas emissions from the project site. (Los Angeles County Community Climate Action Plan)

9. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:	•	•		
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, storage, production, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foresceable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials or waste into the environment?				
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of sensitive land uses?				\boxtimes
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code § 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				Ø
(a. through d.) The new car wash and the sale of alcoholic le production, use, or disposal of any hazardous materials and public or the environment to hazardous materials. The production	<u>will not crea</u>	te conditions t	<u>hat would ex</u>	tpose the
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan, or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people				\boxtimes

residing or working in the project area? (e. & f.) The project is not located within two miles of a public airport or private airstrip. g) Impair implementation of, or physically interfere 冈 П with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan? The project would not impair any existing emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving fires, because the project is located: i) within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zones \boxtimes (Zone 4)? ii) within a high fire hazard area with inadequate \boxtimes access? iii) within an area with inadequate water and П X pressure to meet fire flow standards? iv) within proximity to land uses that have the X potential for dangerous fire hazard?

(h. & i.) The project site is not located within a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. The site is in an urbanized area that is adequately served with water for firefighting purposes. Although underground fuel tanks service the gas station, the project would not significantly increase the risk of a potential for a dangerous fire hazard on the site. (California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection)

i) Does the proposed use constitute a potentially

dangerous fire hazard?

X

10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impace
Would the project:		4	•	•
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			\boxtimes	
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
e) Add water features or create conditions in which standing water can accumulate that could increase habitat for mosquitoes and other vectors that transmit diseases such as the West Nile virus and result in increased pesticide use?				
O 				
f) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional				

П X g) Generate construction or post-construction runoff that would violate applicable stormwater NPDES permits or otherwise significantly affect surface water or groundwater quality? h) Conflict with the Los Angeles County Low Impact \boxtimes Development_Ordinance (L.A. County Code, Title 12, Ch. 12.84 and Title 22, Ch. 22.52)? П \boxtimes i) Result in point or nonpoint source pollutant discharges into State Water Resources Control Boarddesignated Areas of Special Biological Significance? 冈 j) Use onsite wastewater treatment systems in areas with known geological limitations (e.g. high groundwater) or in close proximity to surface water (including, but not limited to, streams, lakes, and drainage course)? k) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality? X (a. through k.) The project would not create a significant impact to the existing water quality at the project site. The new car wash consumes 25 gallons of water per wash, of which 18 gallons (72%) will be recycled water from the clarifier. Therefore the new car wash will not substantially deplete existing groundwater supplies due to its use. The project will not alter the existing drainage pattern on the site or contribute new runoff water sources from the site. 72% of the car wash's wastewater will be captured for recycling with the remaining wastewater being directed into the existing public sewage system. The project does not discharge any point or nonpoint pollutants. X Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map, or within a floodway or floodplain?

sources of polluted runoff?

m) Place structures, which would impede or redirect flood flows, within a 100-year flood hazard area, floodway, or floodplain?		
n) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?		
o) Place structures in areas subject to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?		\boxtimes

(I. through o.) The project site is not located within a known 100-year flood hazard area. Although the project site is located in a potential dam inundation area, the construction of a new 674 sq. ft. coin-operated car wash does not create a significantly new risk of flooding on the project site to people or structures due to any potential dam failure. The project site is not located near a body of water or hillside to be subject to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow. (Federal Emergency Management Agency Q3 Flood Data Flood Insurance Rate Maps, County of Los Angeles CEO Office / ITS Emergency Management Systems).

11. LAND USE AND PLANNING

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
would the project.				
a) Physically divide an established community?			\boxtimes	
b) Be inconsistent with the applicable County plans for the subject property including, but not limited to, the General Plan, specific plans, local coastal plans, area plans, and community/neighborhood plans?			\boxtimes	
c) Be inconsistent with the County zoning ordinance as applicable to the subject property?			\boxtimes	
			•	
d) Conflict with Hillside Management criteria, Significant Ecological Areas conformance criteria, or other applicable land use criteria?				\boxtimes

The project will not divide an established community and is not inconsistent with any applicable County plans or zoning ordinances for the subject property. The project site is not located within a Hillside Management area or a Significant Ecological Area. (Los Angeles Countywide General Plan, Los Angeles County Code Section 22.56.215)

12. MINERAL RESOURCES

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Would the project:				
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				\boxtimes
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally- important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				\boxtimes
The project site does not contain any known mineral resou Area Map, California Geological Survey)	irces. (Los	<u>Angeles Coun</u>	ty Natural R	<u>esources</u>

CC.08132014

13. NOISE

Would the project result in:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
a) Exposure of persons to, or generation of, noise levels in excess of standards established in the County General Plan or noise ordinance (Los Angeles County Code, Title 12, Chapter 12.08), or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			\boxtimes	
c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project, including noise from parking areas?				
d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project, including noise from amplified sound systems?			\boxtimes	
(a. through d.) An acoustical analysis report for the project the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Health (I family residences to the north of the project site as possibaseline ambient noise level was measured at 60.3 dBA at adjacent to the closest residential property. The report measurements it was calculated that noise levels at the measurements, it was calculated that noise levels on the project while the proposed car wash operated with its entrance dambient noise level and confirms that noise impacts will project's potential noise impacts remain at a less than signifithe hours of operation for the car wash be limited to between trance doors be closed while the car wash is operating. Health, Los Angeles County Noise Control Ordinance, [Project) or, where such a plan has not been adopted,	DPH) for revible receptor the project site's project site's nort oors closed. be less than cant level, Den 8:00 a.m. (County of I	view. The reps of for excessive site's north property line. This level is a significant. PPH recomment to 6:00 p.m. at los Angeles D	ort identified noise level operty line v existing self ty line. Using would be 5; below the To ensure inded condition that the cepartment o	s. The which is service ag these 3.9 dBA baseline that the ons that ar wash
within two miles of a public airport or public use				

working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				\boxtimes
(e. & f.) The project site is not located near the vicinity of County Airport Land Use Commission)	an airport	or a private ai	<u>rstrip. (Los</u>	Angeles

14. POPULATION AND HOUSING

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?				
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, especially affordable housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				\boxtimes
d) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?				\boxtimes

The project proposes the construction of a new coin-operated car wash and the sale of beer and wine for off-site consumption at an existing gas station and mini-mart. The project will not displace existing housing or induce any population growth.

15. PUBLIC SERVICES

a) Would the project create capacity or service level problems, or result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Fire protection?			\boxtimes	
Sheriff protection?			\boxtimes	
Schools?				\boxtimes
Parks?				\boxtimes
Libraries?				\boxtimes
Other public facilities?				
N/A				
The operation of a new coin-operated car wash and the sale not increase the need for such public services.	e of beer and	wine for off-si	te consumpt	ion will

16. RECREATION

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less I nan Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					
b) Does the project include neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of such facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				\boxtimes	
c) Would the project interfere with regional open space connectivity?				\boxtimes	
The project will not increase the use of existing parks or other recreational facilities and will not require the					
construction or expansion of such facilities. The project					
interfere with regional open space connectivity.					

17. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impaci
Would the project:	•	•	•	-
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance, or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?				
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program (CMP), including, but not limited to, level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the CMP for designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				\boxtimes
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
				
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				
f) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?				

The project will not create a significant amount of new traffic at or near the project site and does not conflict with any applicable traffic, congestion, or alternative transportation plan, policy, or ordinance. The project will have no affect to existing air traffic patterns. There are no design features of the project that would create hazards or result in inadequate emergency access.

18. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS

Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of either the Los Angeles or Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Boards?				
b) Create water or wastewater system capacity problems, or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
c) Create drainage system capacity problems, or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
d) Have sufficient reliable water supplies available to serve the project demands from existing entitlements and resources, considering existing and projected water demands from other land uses?			\boxtimes	
(a. through d.) The project would not create a significantly project site. The new car wash consumes 25 gallons of warecycled water from the clarifier. Therefore the new car groundwater supplies due to its use. Wastewater from the existing sewer system and will not be directed to existing urbanized area with existing water and sewer service and will and sewer services.	ter per wash ar wash will ae proposed storm drains	, of which 18 l not substant car wash will s. The project	gallons (72%) ially deplete be directed t site is local	existing into the ted in an
e) Create energy utility (electricity, natural gas, propane) system capacity problems, or result in the construction of new energy facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				

f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?			\boxtimes	
(e. through g.) The project site is located in an urbanized utilities and solid waste disposal services. The operation of beer and wine for off-site consumption will not create a sign	of a new coin-	operated car	wash and the	

19. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
The project site is located in an existing urbanized area and operated car wash and the selling of beer and wine for off mini-mart site will not cause harm to fish, plant, or warchaeological, or historical resources on the site.	-site consum	<u>ption at an ex</u>	<u>isting gas sta</u>	tion and
b) Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of long-term environmental goals?				
The project incorporates energy and water conservation energy and water savings.	measures to	achieve short	term and le	ong-term
c) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
The project will be located at an existing gas station and naddition of a new coin-operated car wash and the sale of significantly create cumulatively considerable impacts associand infrastructure, public services, or other resources.	beer and wir	e for off-site	<u>consumption</u>	<u>ı will not</u>
d) Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			\boxtimes	
The proposed car wash has the potential to create excessive analysis report for the project was prepared by the application of Public Health (DPH) for review. To reduce the proposed car wash has the potential to create excessive analysis report for the project was prepared by the application of Public Health (DPH) for review.	<u>nt and subm</u>	<u>itted to the C</u>	<u>ounty of Los</u>	s Angeles

than significant level, DPH recommended conditions that the hours of operation for the car wash be limited to between 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and that the car wash entrance doors be closed while the car wash is operating.

	88